UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/570,738	03/06/2006	Joshua E. Medow	09820283/P03355US	8705
60961 7590 04/01/2009 Intellectual Property Dept./Dewitt Ross & Stevens Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation			EXAMINER	
			WIEST, PHILIP R	
2 East Mifflin Street, Suite #600		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Madison, WI 53703-2865			3761	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/01/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket-ip@dewittross.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/570,738	MEDOW ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Phil Wiest	3761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Ma</u>	arch 2006.					
, <u> </u>	action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-25,27-39,41-49,52-58 and 60-77</u>	is/are pending in the applicatior	1.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>22-25,27-39,41-49 and 52-57</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-21,58 and 60-77</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <i>06 March 2006</i> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	<i>i</i> — <i>i</i> — <i>i</i>	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Oce the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies flot received.						
Attachmont/o						
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)						

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I: Claims 1-6, 8-21, and 73-75 in the reply filed on 12/1/08 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that no serious burden would arise if all claims are searched and examined. This is not found persuasive for the following reasons:

Regarding Groups I and II, Group I requires that the valve is defined by a drain port, and that the piston has a cutout therein, such that it lines up with the drain port (i.e. lines up with the valve. Group II requires that the valve is defined as a cutout in the valve actuator (i.e. piston).

Regarding Groups I and III, Group I requires that the valve is defined by a drain port (i.e. does not move with the piston). Group III requires that the valve is "confined to move along a travel axis. In Group I, the *piston* moves along the travel axis.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

However, applicant's arguments regarding the restriction between Groups I and IV have been found persuasive. The restriction of Group IV has been withdrawn.

Response to Amendment

In the reply filed 7/2/08, applicant amended claims 1, 8, 14, 22, 23, 27, 38, 41, 42, 48, 52, 53, 58, and 64, cancelled claims 7, 26, 40, 50, 51, and 59, and added new

Art Unit: 3761

claims 72-77. Claims 1-6, 8-25, 27-39, 41-49, 52-58, and 60-77 are currently pending, and claims 22-25, 27-39, 41-49, and 52-57 are withdrawn from consideration.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-72 under 35 USC 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. The Marion reference fails to adequately teach a valve actuator having a cutout that lines up with the drain port to allow fluid flow. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of newly discovered prior art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8-15, 17-21, 58, 60, 62, and 64-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Drevet et al. (US 5,643,195) in view of Burbank (US 5,540,668).

1. With respect to Claims 1, 2, and 58, Drevet discloses a fluid shunt for regulating the flow of cerebrospinal fluid ("CSF"), said shunt comprising an inlet port, an outlet port, a fluid passageway between said inlet and outlet, and a valve 14 situated between the

Application/Control Number: 10/570,738

Art Unit: 3761

inlet and outlet. The valve defines a drain port between an upstream side and a downstream side (6 and 13) of the fluid passageway. The device further comprises a piston having a piston face defined on the upstream side of the passageway and being displaceable to actuate the valve (see Abstract). The fluid bearing on the piston face will actuate the valve and allow fluid communication between the inlet and outlet ports. A portion of the piston face is defined by a deformable diagram 9. The deformable diaphragm is connected to a spring 17 such that the diaphragm and spring provide a biasing force to maintain the valve in a closed state unless a sufficient pressure differential is provided.

Page 4

- 2. With respect to Claim 5, 6, 11, and 64, the piston is displaceable along a piston travel axis, and fluid flowing through the valve between the upstream and downstream sides (6 and 13) flows substantially perpendicular to said axis. The piston further comprises a piston tail opposite the piston face, and the downstream side of the fluid passage opens onto the piston only at the intermediate length of the piston. The piston tail is opposite the piston face, and the upstream side of the fluid passage opens onto the piston tail (see Figure 1). When a sufficient pressure differential across the diaphragm is present, the diaphragm will displace the piston along the travel axis and open the valve.
- 3. With respect to Claim 12-15, 60, and 62, Drevet further discloses that the deformable diaphragm 9 includes a fluid side 7 bounded by the upstream side 7 of the fluid passageway, and an opposite side isolated from the fluid passage. The diaphragm 9 is biased by a spring 17. The opposite side is isolated from both the upstream and

downstream sides of the fluid passage by the diaphragm 9, and the pressure of the spring 17 is capable of being adjusted by means of a screw 18 (see Figure 1). When a sufficient pressure differential across the diaphragm is present, the diaphragm will displace the piston along the travel axis and open the valve.

- 4. With respect to Claim 17, the piston, diaphragm 9, and spring 17 are disposed adjacent the upstream chamber. Therefore, the position of the piston is independent of and isolated from the pressure in the downstream side of the fluid passage.
- 5. With respect to Claims 18, 20, 21, and 68-70, the device is designed to drain CSF from the cranium to a resorption site, such that the peritoneum (Column 1, Lines 5-17).
- 6. With respect to Claim 19 and 71, the outlet portion 4 has an extended flexible catheter 5 extending therefrom.
- 7. Drevet, however, does not specifically teach that the piston comprises a cutout defined therein, the cutout being alignable with the drain port when the piston is displaced such that the valve may be opened.
- 8. Burbank et al. (hereafter 'Burbank') teaches a valve assembly comprising a plurality of pistons that are displaceable to control flow through the system (see Figures 4-6). The pistons have lumens (i.e. cutouts) 112b defined on the surface thereof in order to allow fluid flow through the system only when the piston is in a certain position. When the piston is in the original position, the cutout does not line up with the drain port.

such that fluid cannot flow through the system (see Figure 5). Therefore, *fluid* communication is only established when the piston is in the advanced position (see Figure 6). Pistons having drainage lumens extending therethrough are well known in the art of medical fluid flow systems because they provide simplistic means for allowing and preventing fluid flow through a system. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the piston-controlled CSF flow regulating system of Drevet with Burbank's piston having a cutout therein, in order to provide a well-known, alternate means for controlling fluid flow between the inlet and the outlet of a fluid shunt.

9. Claims 3, 4, 16, 61, and 63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Drevet in view of Burbank, and further in view of Drake et al. (US 5,192,265). Drevet and Burbank reasonably suggest a flow-regulating CSF shunt substantially as claimed (see rejection above), but does not specifically disclose that the diaphragm comprises a fluid side in contact with the upstream chamber and a gas side, opposite the fluid side, bounded by a regulatable gas chamber. Drake discloses an adjustable CSF shunt comprising a fluid passageway 5 surrounded by a pair of flexible walls (i.e. diaphragms). A gas chamber 9 is disposed on the opposite side of the flexible sheets from the fluid passageway, such that pressure is applied to the diaphragms to form a valve (Figure 1). When a low pressure differential exists between the inlet and the outlet, the valve is closed. When a pressure differential between the inlet port 7 and outlet port 8 is present, however, the diaphragms will deform, allowing

Art Unit: 3761

fluid to pass through the fluid passageway. Furthermore, Drake discloses that the gas pressure in the gas chamber 9 may be manually adjusted by moving a ball 14 through the gas pressure control means 10 (Column 3, Lines 34-44). The use of a gas source therefore allows a pressure to be applied to the opposite side of the diaphragm, thus eliminating the need for a spring member to apply pressure to the diaphragm.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the flow control device of Drevet and Burbank with the use of a gas source to apply pressure to the opposite side of the diaphragm in order to provide a well known, alternate means for controlling the pressure differential between the inlet and outlet of a CSF shunt.

Claims 72-77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Drevet in view of Burbank, and further in view of Zinger et al. (US 6,379,340). Drevet and Burbank reasonably suggest the device substantially as claimed. Specifically, Drevet teaches the use of a piston that moves in response to a pressure differential, and Burbank suggests the use of a piston having a cutout therein that lines up with the drain port. Drevet and Burbank, however, do not specifically teach that the cutout of the piston moved along a curved path between the first and second positions. Zinger et al. (hereafter Zinger) teaches a flow control device comprising a piston having a cutout 25 therein that extends along the wall of the piston, thereby defining a drain port (see Figure 4). The may be rotated in order to establish fluid flow between the inlet 14 and the outlet 16 of the flow path. The rotational valve of Zinger therefore performs the

Application/Control Number: 10/570,738 Page 8

Art Unit: 3761

same function as the axially-displaceable piston Burbank, except that it is displaced rotationally instead of axially. However, it has been held that substituting equivalents that are known for the same purpose does not constitute a patentable improvement in the art (see MPEP § 2144.06). Rotationally-displaced fluid valves having cutouts extending therethrough, such as that of Zinger, are commonly used in the art (see also Wilson et al. – US 5,540,668). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the fluid control device of Drevet and Burbank with the rotationally-displaceable valve actuator of Zinger in order to provide a well-known, equivalent means for controlling fluid flow between an inlet and an outlet.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phil Wiest whose telephone number is (571)272-3235. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tatyana Zalukaeva can be reached on (571) 272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/570,738 Page 9

Art Unit: 3761

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Phil Wiest/ Examiner, Art Unit 3761

/Leslie R. Deak/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3761 10 March 2009